

An *Unofficial* BOSS DR12 Analysis: Cosmology from the Galaxy Power Spectrum and Bispectrum

#### Oliver Philcox (Princeton / IAS) Misha Ivanov (IAS)

with Giovanni Cabass (IAS), Marko Simonovic (CERN), and Matias Zaldarriaga (IAS)





Tri-State Cosmology Meeting, CCA 18/02/22

### **COSMOLOGY FROM SPECTROSCOPIC SURVEYS**



**Big Telescope** 



10<sup>6</sup> Galaxy Positions

### WHAT DO WE DO WITH THE DATA?

Compress the 10<sup>6</sup> galaxy positions to a power spectrum

- Use a scaling analysis to measure:
  - Overall amplitude ( = primordial amplitude)
  - **Wiggle** positions ( = BAO feature)

Robust way to constrain growth rate and expansion history, H(z)



### WHAT DO WE DO WITH THE DATA?

Compress the 10<sup>6</sup> galaxy positions to a power spectrum

- Use a scaling analysis to measure:
  - Overall amplitude ( = primordial amplitude)
  - **Wiggle** positions ( = BAO feature)

Robust way to constrain growth rate and expansion history, H(z)



#### WHAT <u>COULD</u> WE DO WITH THE DATA?

> This is not all the available information!



### WHAT <u>COULD</u> WE DO WITH THE DATA?

> This is not all the available information!

Measure parameters directly from the full shape of the galaxy power spectrum

 $\triangleright$  This is just like for the CMB!

This needs an accurate theory model...



#### THE EFFECTIVE FIELD THEORY OF LARGE SCALE STRUCTURE

> Analytic theory for  $\delta(\mathbf{x})$ , based on the fluid equations

This includes:

- Back-reaction of small-scale physics on large-scale modes
- Long-wavelength displacements
- Galaxy bias
- Redshift-space distortions
- Primordial non-Gaussianity etc.

Arbitrarily accurate on large scales!

$$\vec{v} = \vec{v}$$

 $\dot{v}^{i}$  + H $v^{i}$  +  $v^{j}$   $\delta_{j}v^{i}$  =  $\frac{4}{\rho}$   $\delta_{j}\tau^{ij}$ 

7

### WHAT <u>COULD</u> WE DO WITH THE DATA?

Theory tested at high precision in blind mock challenges → it works!

#### Applied to BOSS power spectra

	H <sub>0</sub>	$\Omega_m$	$\sigma_8$
BOSS 2021	68.8 ± 1.2	$0.32 \pm 0.01$	$0.73 \pm 0.04$
Planck 2018 (TT, TE, EE, Iow-I, Iensing)	67.4 <u>+</u> 0.5	$0.315 \pm 0.007$	$0.811 \pm 0.006$



### WHAT <u>ELSE</u> CAN WE DO WITH THE DATA?

# Add the **wiggly** information from **baryon acoustic oscillations**





**Philcox**+20 (see also Chen+21, d'Amico+20)

## WHAT <u>ELSE</u> CAN WE DO WITH THE DATA?





- No Fingers-of-God!
- Push to  $k_{\rm max}=0.4h/{
  m Mpc}$
- Constraints improve by (10 100)%

### WHAT <u>ELSE</u> CAN WE DO WITH THE DATA?

11

#### Add the galaxy bispectrum:

$$B_g(k_1, k_2, k_3) = \langle \delta_g(\mathbf{k}_1) \delta_g(\mathbf{k}_2) \delta_g(\mathbf{k}_3) \rangle'$$

#### This is <u>hard</u>:

- Window functions
- Theory model



### THE MASKED BISPECTRUM

**Problem:** We don't measure the density field directly.

$$\delta_g(\mathbf{r}) \to W(\mathbf{r}) \delta_g(\mathbf{r}) \qquad \delta_g(\mathbf{k}) \to \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} W(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}) \delta_g(\mathbf{p})$$
Window Function

The measured bispectrum is a triple convolution

$$B_g(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) \to \int_{\mathbf{p}_1 \mathbf{p}_2} W(\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{p}_1) W(\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{p}_2) W(\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2) B_g(\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2)$$

#### Solution: Convolve the theory model too

This is too expensive to do properly!



### **BISPECTRA WITHOUT WINDOWS**

Alternatively: estimate the unwindowed bispectrum directly

$$B_g^{\min}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) = \int_{\mathbf{p}_1 \mathbf{p}_2} W(\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{p}_1) W(\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{p}_2) W(\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2) B_g(\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2)$$

Derive a maximum-likelihood estimator for the true bispectrum

Effectively **deconvolves** the window

$$\nabla_{B_g} L[\text{data}|B_g] = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \widehat{B}_g = \cdots$$



See <u>GitHub.com/oliverphilcox/BOSS-Without-Windows</u>

### **MODELLING THE BISPECTRUM**

#### Model:

- Tree-level theory
- Second-order galaxy bias
- Large-scale displacements
- Coordinate transformations
- Fingers-of-God

Tested on 566  $(\text{Gpc}/\text{h})^3$  simulations Accurate up to  $k_{max} = 0.08 \ h/\text{Mpc}$ 

#### $\textbf{Data} \div \textbf{Theory} - \textbf{1}$



lvanov+21

### THE UNOFFICIAL BOSS DR12 ANALYSIS



### THE UNOFFICIAL BOSS DR12 ANALYSIS - TESTING



Validate with **Nseries** mocks

 $\circ$  All parameters recovered at  $\ll 1\sigma$ 

 $\odot$  Theory model works!

 $\circ$  Window function works!

• Fiber collisions work!

Philcox+21

### THE UNOFFICIAL BOSS DR12 ANALYSIS - RESULTS

 $\circ \Lambda CDM$  analysis gives **tight** parameter constraints

 $\circ$  **H**<sub>0</sub> agrees with *Planck* 

 $\circ$  **S**<sub>8</sub> = 0.75 ± 0.04 agrees with weak lensing

	<b>H</b> <sub>0</sub>	$\Omega_m$	$\sigma_8$
BOSS Pk	68.8 ± 1.2	$0.32 \pm 0.01$	$0.73 \pm 0.04$
BOSS All	$68.3 \pm 0.8$	$0.32 \pm 0.01$	$0.72 \pm 0.03$
Planck 2018 (TT, TE, EE, low-I, lensing)	$67.4 \pm 0.5$	$0.315 \pm 0.007$	0.811 ± 0.006



## THE UNOFFICIAL BOSS DR12 ANALYSIS

Can constrain **other** parameters:

 $n_s = 0.87 \pm 0.07$ 

Neutrino mass

 $\circ$  Sound-Horizon free H<sub>0</sub> measurements

• Bias relations (3x better with bispectra!)

All analysis is public: github.com/oliverphilcox/full\_shape\_likelihoods



**Philcox**+21 (see also Chen+21, d'Amico+21)

### WHAT'S NEXT?

#### New / Better Statistics

- One-Loop Bispectrum
- Bispectrum **Multipoles**
- Trispectrum
- Correlation Functions?

#### Apply this to DESI?

#### New Things to Learn

- Primordial Non-Gaussianity (see Misha's talk)
- Ultra-Light Axions
- Early Dark Energy
- Massive Spinning Particles

#### and much more...

Cabass+22, d'Amico+22, Dizgah+18, Ivanov+20, ...

**arXiv** 2112.04515 2110.10161 2110.00006 2002.04035 1909.05277



# CONCLUSIONS

• We can **directly** extract cosmological parameters from galaxy surveys

 This will (eventually) become stronger than the CMB

 New statistics give extra information and can be robustly measured & modelled