









Detection and Removal of CMB B-mode Dust Foregrounds with Signatures of Statistical Anisotropy

OLIVER PHILCOX (PRINCETON) BLAKE SHERWIN (CAMBRIDGE) ALEX VAN ENGELEN (TORONTO)

DECEMBER 6, 2019 - GRAVITY GROUP

### Outline

### **1.** Introduction to B-modes & Polarized Dust

2. Detecting dust with anisotropy

3. How can this be used?

- **1.** Null Tests
- 2. Dedusting

### The Cosmic Microwave Background







# What Generates CMB Polarization?

Scattering from electrons at recombination ( $z \sim 1100$ )

Weak lensing from large-scale structure

### Foregrounds

Synchrotron Radiation ( $\nu < 100 \text{ GHz}$ )

**Thermal Dust Emission** ( $\nu > 100 \text{ GHz}$ )

[Dickinson, 2016]

29 July, 2020

### Primordial gravitational wave discovery heralds 'whole new era' in physics

Gravitational waves could help unite general relativity and quantum mechanics to reveal a 'theory of everything'



# The Search for Inflation

Inflation predicts gravitational waves with  $\lambda \sim Mpc$ 

Search for these in the CMB?Best to look in clean B-modes

BICEP2 (2014) – 'Discovery of IGWs'
 Later showed to be residual dust...

[The Guardian, 17 March, 2014]

29 July, 2020



[Credit: Levrier 2015]

### **Dust Subtraction**

#### **Standard Approach:**

- 1. Assume a dust frequency dependence
- 2. Use multi-frequency data to remove dust

#### But:

□ Multi-temperature dust?

Decorrelation?

□ Harder to apply from the ground

Can we develop a single-frequency approach?



#### 29 July, 2020

## Outline

1. Introduction to B-modes & Polarized Dust

### 2. Detecting dust with anisotropy

- **3.** How can this be used?
  - **1.** Null Tests
  - 2. Dedusting



### Aims & Simulations

Detect & characterise dust anisotropy

Apply this to the **CMB-S4** experiment (mid-2020s)

Dust data is full-sky simulations of Vansyngel+ (2017)

# Splitting Up the Sky

#### CMB Thermal Dust Map at 353 GHz [Vansyngel+ 2017 Simulations]



# Creating Mock Data

□ Reduce frequency to 150 GHz [via modified blackbody frequency scalings]

Add weak lensing signal [computed from Planck FFP10 Simulations]

Add instrument noise

$$C_l^{\text{noise}} = \Delta_P^2 \exp\left(\frac{l(l+1)\theta_{\text{FWHM}}^2}{8\ln 2}\right)$$

[using  $\Delta_P = 1 \mu K'$ ,  $\theta_{\rm FWHM} = 1.5'$  for CMB-S4]

Contributions 353 GHz Dust  $10^{-10}$ 150 GHz Dust Full Lensing 90% Delensed  $10^{-5}$  liteBIRD Noise S4 Noise  $10^{-6}$  $10^{-7}$ 

 $10^{2}$ 

Angular Scale  $l \sim 180^{\circ}/\theta$ 

[Philcox+ 2018b]

 $10^{1}$ 

 $10^{-8}$ 

 $10^{3}$ 

# Hexadecapolar Anisotropy (I)

□ Small regions of dust should show hexadecapolar anisotropy in 2D power spectra  $\left| \tilde{B}(\vec{l}) \right|^2 = A l^{-2.42} [1 - f_c \cos 4\phi_{\vec{l}} - f_s \sin 4\phi_{\vec{l}}]$ 

[Kamionkowski & Kovetz, 2014]

#### 3° B-mode Real-Space Map



# Hexadecapolar Anisotropy (I)



### Hexadecapolar Anisotropy (II)

**True 2D B-mode Power** Small regions of dust should show **hexadecapolar** 2000 anisotropy in 2D power spectra -18 $\left|\tilde{B}(\vec{l})\right|^{2} = Al^{-2.42} \left[1 - f_{c} \cos 4\phi_{\vec{l}} - f_{s} \sin 4\phi_{\vec{l}}\right]$ 1500 -201000 [Kamionkowski & Kovetz, 2014] 500 GWs do **not** have this structure. 0 **True** 2D power spectrum -500Define the **hexadecapole power** and **angle**: -1000 $H^{2} = (Af_{s})^{2} + (Af_{c})^{2}$  $\tan 4\alpha = \frac{Af_{s}}{Af_{c}}$ -1500-28  $2\alpha = \arctan \frac{\sigma}{\alpha}$ -10001000 2000 l<sub>x</sub>

#### 29 July, 2020

### **Statistical Estimators**

$$\widehat{A} = \frac{\sum_{\vec{l}} \mathcal{B}^2(\vec{l}) \Lambda_l^2 / C_l^{\text{fid}}}{\sum_{\vec{l}} \Lambda_l^2}$$

$$\widehat{Af_c} = \frac{\sum_{\vec{l}} \mathcal{B}^2(\vec{l}) \Lambda_l^2 \cos 4\phi_{\vec{l}} / C_l^{\text{fid}}}{\sum_{\vec{l}} (\Lambda_l \cos 4\phi_{\vec{l}})^2}$$

$$\widehat{Af_s} = \frac{\sum_{\vec{l}} \mathcal{B}^2(\vec{l}) \Lambda_l^2 \sin 4\phi_{\vec{l}} / C_l^{\text{fid}}}{\sum_{\vec{l}} (\Lambda_l \sin 4\phi_{\vec{l}})^2}$$

[cf. Kamionkowski & Kovetz, 2014]

#### **Dust B-mode power:**

$$\mathcal{B}^2(\vec{l}) = |B(\vec{l})|^2 - (C_l^{\text{lens}} + C_l^{\text{noise}})$$

Signal-to-noise ratio:  

$$\Lambda_l = \frac{AC_l^{\text{fid}}}{AC_l^{\text{fid}} + C_l^{\text{noise}} + C_l^{\text{lens}}}$$

Fiducial slope: [Planck Int. XXX, 2014]

$$C_l^{\text{fid}} = l^{-2.42}$$

# Dealing with Bias (I)

The hexadecapole power statistic,  $H^2 = (Af_s)^2 + (Af_c)^2$  is intrinsically biased.

Even an *isotropic* dust map will have  $H^2 > 0$ 

#### □ To remove bias we must use **Monte Carlo simulations**

These are computed from the same power spectra in each tile as the data.

**But,** they are *isotropic* by construction

Create a *debiased* hexadecapole statistic:

$$\mathcal{H}^2 = H^2 - H_{\text{bias, iso}}^2$$

# Dealing with Bias (II)

Use **'realization dependent debiasing'** combining data and simulations via cross-correlations

Remove bias in each tile using 500 MC simulations:

$$H_{\rm bias,iso}^2 = \begin{cases} 4\langle H^2 \rangle_{\rm DS} - \langle H^2 \rangle_{\rm SS} & (\text{Data}) \\ \langle H^2 \rangle_{\rm SS} & (\text{MC Simulations}) \end{cases}$$

#### Also:

Remove small residual 'lensing bias' using a patch with only noise + lensing present

Remove pixellation biases by tile rotations



29 July, 2020

POLARIZED DUST ANISOTROPY

# Anisotropy Probability

Convert the hexadecapole power to a (Gaussian)
 anisotropy likelihood

Only weak detection significances on this scale





### **IGW** Contamination

If inflationary gravitational waves were present, would we mistake them for dust?

Using a full-sky realisation of IGWs with current constraints: [Using Planck FFP10 Simulations with BICEP2/Keck collaboration limits]

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{tensor bias}}^{r=0.1} = -0.04 \pm 0.58$$

### **No significant detection of hexadecapole anisotropy from IGWs**

[*Philcox*+ 2018b]

# Outline

1. Introduction to B-modes & Polarized Dust

2. Detecting dust with anisotropy

### **3.** How can this be used?

- 1. Null Tests
- 2. Dedusting

# A Null Test for Dust: Motivation

### **Scenario:**

□ We think our experiment has detected inflationary gravitational waves at a tensor-to-scalar ratio  $r = r_0$ ( $r \leq 0.07$ ; BICEP2 Collaboration 2016)

Are these true IGWs or just a detection of poorly-subtracted dust?

Test if we can detect dust at this level



### **Full Sky Correlations**



Using Planck GAL80 Mask + CMB-S4 [Abazaijan+ 2016] Noise Parameters with HEALPix [Górski+ 2005] for visualisation

29 July, 2020

POLARIZED DUST ANISOTROPY

### Full Sky Correlation Coefficient



Compute the correlation function between **monopole** and **hexadecapole**:

$$\rho_{A\mathcal{H}^2}(l) = \frac{C_l^{A\mathcal{H}^2}}{\sqrt{C_l^A C_l^{\mathcal{H}^2}}}$$

Very strong correlations on large angular scales!

[Philcox+ 2018b]

### Full Sky Correlation Coefficient



29 July, 2020

POLARIZED DUST ANISOTROPY

# Dedusting Techniques (I)



[*Philcox+ 2018b*]

### Dedusting Techniques (II)

□Scaling is found via *cross-correlations* with the data







□Cross-correlations are also used to avoid information loss in conversion from  $4\alpha(\mathbf{r}) \rightarrow 2\alpha(\mathbf{r})$ polarization angles

-90 90  $\alpha$   $[^{\circ}]$ 

[*Philcox+ 2018b*]

### **Dedusting in Practice**



### **Estimated B-mode Map**

True B-mode Map [Philcox+ 2018b]

29 July, 2020

# **Dedusting Correlations**

Correlation of estimated & true B-mode power:

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

### Summary

# Hexadecapolar anisotropy is detectable in futuristic CMB-experiments

### Use this as a **null test** for dust

**55** $\sigma$  detection for CMB S4 noise  $r_{eff} = O(0.001)$  detected at **95% confidence** 

Possibility of single frequency 'dedusting'

### **Future Work**

Include E-modes

Use continuous angle distribution
[Kamionkowski/Kovetz 2014]

Combine with multifrequency cleaning

Apply to real data

Monthly Notices of the ROYAL ASTRONOMICAL SOCIETY

MNRAS **479**, 5577–5595 (2018) Advance Access publication 2018 July 5

![](_page_33_Picture_2.jpeg)

doi:10.1093/mnras/sty1769

# **Detection and removal of B-mode dust foregrounds with signatures of statistical anisotropy**

Oliver H. E. Philcox,<sup>1,2★</sup> Blake D. Sherwin<sup>2,3</sup> and Alexander van Engelen<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Astronomy, Madingley Road, Cambridge CB3 0HA, UK

<sup>2</sup>*Kavli Institute for Cosmology Cambridge, Cambridge CB3 0HA, UK* 

<sup>3</sup>Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, Cambridge CB3 0WA, UK

<sup>4</sup>Canadian Institute for Theoretical Astrophysics, 60 St. George Street, Toronto M5S 3H8, Canada

Accepted 2018 June 30. Received 2018 June 30; in original form 2018 June 8

arXiv: 1805.09177

Thanks for your attention

ophilcox@princeton.edu

29 July, 2020

### **Noise Parameters**

### 90% Delensing

![](_page_34_Figure_2.jpeg)

No Delensing

![](_page_35_Figure_0.jpeg)

29 July, 2020

POLARIZED DUST ANISOTROPY